arXiv:1410.1767v3 [math.AP] 17 Apr 2015

To appear in Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena

CONTINUOUS DATA ASSIMILATION FOR THE 2D BÉNARD CONVECTION THROUGH VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS ALONE

ASEEL FARHAT, MICHAEL S. JOLLY, AND EDRISS S. TITI

Abstract. An algorithm for continuous data assimilation for the two-dimensional Bénard convection problem is introduced and analyzed. It is inspired by the data assimilation algorithm developed for the Navier-Stokes equations, which allows for the implementation of variety of observables: low Fourier modes, nodal values, finite volume averages, and finite elements. The novelty here is that the observed data is obtained for the velocity field alone; i.e. no temperature measurements are needed for this algorithm. We provide conditions on the spatial resolution of the observed data, under the assumption that the observed data is free of noise, which are sufficient to show that the solution of the algorithm approaches, at an exponential rate, the unique exact unknown solution of the Bénard convection problem associated with the observed (finite dimensional projection of) velocity.

MSC Subject Classifications: 35Q30, 93C20, 37C50, 76B75, 34D06.
Keywords: Continuous data assimilation, two-dimensional Bénard convection problem, determining projections.

1. Introduction

Accurate numerical simulations of nonlinear systems require high precision in the initial data. For most applications however, initial data which should ideally be defined on the whole physical domain, can be measured only discretely, often with inadequate resolution. Data assimilation refers to the process of completing, or enhancing the resolution of the initial condition. The classical method of continuous data assimilation, see, e.g., [10], is to insert observational measurements directly into a model as the latter is being integrated in time. The natural mathematical target for data assimilation is the global attractor. This set contains all the long time behavior; it is compact, invariant, and finite-dimensional. Another key notion is that of determining parameters. A projection (onto say a finite number of low Fourier modes, or other types of interpolant projections based on nodal values and volume elements) is said to be determining if, whenever the projection of two trajectories on the global attractor approach each other, as $t \to \infty$, the full trajectories approach each other, see, for example, [8, 14–18, 22, 26, 27] and references therein. One way to exploit this is to insert low mode observables from a time series into the equation for the evolution of the high modes. After a relatively short time interval $[t_{-1}, t_0]$ the solution to the equation for the high modes is close to the high modes of the exact solution associated with the observables. At that point the low modes and high modes can be combined to form a complete good approximation of the state of the system at time $t = t_0$, which can then be used as an initial condition for

Date: April 21, 2015.

a high resolution simulation. This was the approach taken for the 2D Navier-Stokes in [4, 5, 20, 25, 28, 30, 31]. Except for the work in [4] for the 3DVAR Gaussian filter, and [3] using the determining parameters nudging approach of this paper for data assimilation, the previously mentioned theoretical work assumed that the observational measurements are error free. Notably, the authors of [25] present an algorithm for data assimilation that uses discrete in space and time measurements.

An alternative approach in [1] uses the observables in a feedback control term. The advantage is that, since no derivatives are required of the coarse grain observable, this works for a general class of interpolant operators. The main idea can be outlined in terms of a general evolutionary equation

$$\frac{du}{dt} = F(u), \tag{1.1}$$

where the initial data $u(0) = u_0$ is missing. Let $I_h(u(t))$ represent an interpolant operator based on the spatial observations of the reference solution of system (1.1) at a coarse spatial resolution of size h. Consider

$$\frac{dv}{dt} = F(v) - \mu(I_h(v) - I_h(u)), \tag{1.2a}$$

$$v(0) = v_0, \tag{1.2b}$$

where $\mu > 0$ is a relaxation (nudging) parameter, and v_0 is arbitrary. It is shown in [2] that if one takes μ large enough, and h small enough (depending on μ), then $v(t)$ converges to the reference solution $u(t)$ of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations, at an exponential rate, as $t \to \infty$. An extension to this approach of [2] to the case where the observations are contaminated with random errors is studied in [3]. The feedback control approach to data assimilation plays a key role in the derivation in [12] of a determining form for the 2D NSE, which is an ordinary differential equation whose steady states are precisely the trajectories in the global attractor.

The Bénard convection problem is a model of the convection of an incompressible fluid layer in a box $(0, L) \times (0, 1)$ which is heated from below in such a way that the lower plate is maintained at a temperature T_0 while the upper one is at temperature $T_1 < T_0$, where T_0 and T_1 are constants. After a change of variables (see [13]), the non-dimensional two-dimensional Boussinesq equations that govern the velocity of the fluid, the pressure p, and the normalized temperature (or the density) of the fluid read

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \nu\Delta u + (u \cdot \nabla)u + \nabla p = \theta \mathbf{e}_2, \tag{1.3a}$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} - \kappa\Delta\theta + (u \cdot \nabla)\theta - u \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 = 0, \tag{1.3b}$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0, \tag{1.3c}$$

$$u(0; x) = u_0(x), \quad \theta(0; x) = \theta_0(x), \tag{1.3d}$$

with the boundary conditions in the x_2-direction

$$u, \theta = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad x_2 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x_2 = 1, \tag{1.3e}$$

and in the x_1-direction, for simplicity, we will impose a periodicity condition

$$u, \theta, p \text{ are periodic, of period } L, \text{ in the } x_1\text{-direction.} \tag{1.3f}$$

The Boussinesq system (1.3) is usually referred to as the Bénard convection problem. The global regularity of the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations was established in [6] (see also[33]) following the classical methods for the Navier-Stokes equations. The mathematical analysis of system (1.3) has been studied in [13] (see also [33]), where the existence and uniqueness of weak solution in dimension two and three were proved, along with the existence of a finite dimensional global attractor was also established in space dimension two. It was also shown in [13] that system (1.3) can be handled with different boundary conditions. For recent results concerning the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations we refer the reader to [7], [11], [21], [23], [24], [29], and references therein.

In this work, we present a new continuous data assimilation algorithm for the Bénard convection problem (1.3). The twist here is that we can recover the reference solution to (1.3) using coarse-grain data for the velocity alone; *temperature data is not needed*. This is done by solving

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \nu\Delta v + (v\cdot\nabla)v + \nabla\tilde{p} = \eta\mathbf{e}_2 - \mu(I_h(v) - I_h(u)), \tag{1.4a}$$

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} - \kappa\Delta\eta + (v\cdot\nabla)\eta - v\cdot\mathbf{e}_2 = 0, \tag{1.4b}$$

$$\nabla\cdot v = 0, \tag{1.4c}$$

$$v(0;x) = v_0(x), \quad \eta(0;x) = \eta_0(x), \tag{1.4d}$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\text{(1.3e), and (1.3f) hold with } u, \theta, \text{ and } p \text{ replaced by } v, \eta, \text{ and } \tilde{p}, \text{ respectively.} \tag{1.4e}$$

Here, $\tilde{p}$ is a modified pressure, and as for (1.2), v_0, η_0 may be chosen arbitrarily, e.g., zero in each case. If we knew u_0 and θ_0 in (1.3d), then we could take $v_0 = u_0$ and $\eta_0 = \theta_0$ in (1.4d) and the solution (v, η) would be identically (u, θ), by the uniqueness of solutions of system (1.4), which will be shown below. The point, again, is that in many applications, we do not know u_0 and θ_0. We emphasize that in this algorithm, we construct our approximate solutions (v, η) using only the observations of the velocity field solution, $I_h(u)$, in the v-equation; no observations $I_h(\theta)$ are needed for the temperature.

We will consider two types of interpolant observables. One is to be given by a linear interpolant operator $I_h : H^1 \to L^2$ satisfying the approximation property

$$\|\varphi - I_h(\varphi)\|_{L^2} \leq \gamma_0 h \|\varphi\|_{H^1}, \tag{1.5}$$

for every $\varphi \in H^1$, where $\gamma_0 > 0$ is a dimensionless constant. The other type is given by $I_h : H^2 \to L^2$, together with

$$\|\varphi - I_h(\varphi)\|_{L^2} \leq \gamma_1 h \|\varphi\|_{H^1} + \gamma_2 h^2 \|\varphi\|_{H^2}, \tag{1.6}$$

for every $\varphi \in H^2$, where $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > 0$ are dimensionless constants. One example of an interpolant observable that satisfies (1.5) is the orthogonal projection onto the low Fourier modes with wave numbers k such that $|k| \leq 1/h$. A more physical example is the volume elements that were studied in [26]. An example of an interpolant observable that satisfies (1.6) is given by the measurements at a discrete set of nodal points in Ω (see Appendix A in [2]).

In the next section we lay out the functional setting commonly used in the mathematical study of the Navier-Stokes equations. We also recall the previous

work on the Bénard problem establishing well-posedness and existence of a global attractor. In section 3 we prove that solutions on the global attractor of (1.3) are determined by the velocity alone, a fact which motivates our data assimilation algorithm (1.4). The main results are in section 3. Assuming adequate resolution in the observational data, and separately conditions (1.5) and (1.6), we prove the well-posedness of system (1.4) as well as convergence (at an exponential rate) of the approximate solution (v, η) of (1.4) to the reference solution (u, θ) of the Bénard convection problem (1.3).

2. Preliminaries

For the sake of completeness, this section presents some preliminary material and notation commonly used in the mathematical study of fluids, in particular in the study of the Navier-Stokes equations (NSE) and the Euler equations. For more detailed discussion on these topics, we refer the reader to [9], [32], [34] and [35].

We begin by defining function spaces corresponding to the relevant physical boundary conditions. We define $\mathcal{F}$ to be the set of $C^\infty(\Omega)$ functions defined in Ω, which are trigonometric polynomials in x_1 with period L, and compactly supported in the x_2-direction. We denote the space of smooth vector-valued functions which incorporates the divergence-free condition by

$$\mathcal{V} := \{\phi \in \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{F} : \ \nabla \cdot \phi = 0\}.$$

Remark 2.1. We will use the same notation indiscriminately for both scalar and vector Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces, which should not be a source of confusion.

The closures of $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ will be denoted by H_0 and H_1, respectively. H_0 and H_1 will be endowed with the usual scalar product

$$(u, v)_{H_0} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \int_\Omega u^i(x) v^i(x)\, dx \quad \text{and} \quad (\psi, \phi)_{H_1} = \int_\Omega \psi(x)\phi(x)\, dx,$$

and the associated norms $\|u\|_{H_0} = (u, u)_{H_0}^{1/2}$ and $\|\phi\|_{H_1} = (\phi, \phi)_{H_1}^{1/2}$, respectively. We denote by the closures of $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ in $H^1(\Omega)$ by V_0 and V_1, respectively. V_0 and V_1 are Hilbert spaces endowed by the scalar product

$$((u, v))_{V_0} = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} \int_\Omega \partial_j u^i(x) \partial_j v^i(x)\, dx \quad \text{and} \quad ((\psi, \phi))_{V_1} = \sum_{j=1}^{2} \int_\Omega \partial_j \psi(x) \partial_j \phi(x)\, dx,$$

and the associated norms $\|u\|_{V_0} = ((u, u))_{V_0}^{1/2}$ and $\|\phi\|_{V_1} = ((\phi, \phi))_{V_1}^{1/2}$, respectively.

Let $D(A_0) = V_0 \cap H^2(\Omega)$ and $D(A_1) = V_1 \cap H^2(\Omega)$ and let $A_i : D(A_i) \to H_i$ be the unbounded linear operator defined by

$$(A_i u, v)_{H_i} = ((u, v))_{V_i}, \qquad i = 0, 1,$$

for all $u, v \in D(A_i)$. The operator A_i is self-adjoint and A_i^{-1} is a compact , positive-definite, self-adjoint linear operator in H_i, for each $i = 0, 1$. Thus, there exists a complete orthonormal set of eigenfunctions w_j^i in H_i such that $A_i w_j^i = \lambda_j^i w_j^i$ where $0 < \lambda_j^i \leq \lambda_{j+1}^i$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $i = 0, 1$.

We denote the Helmholtz-Leray projector from $L^2(\Omega)$ onto H_0 by $\mathcal{P}_\sigma$ and the dual of V_i by $V_i^{'}$, for $i = 0, 1$. We define a map $B_0 : V_0 \times V_0 \to V_0^{'}$ by

$$\langle B_0(u, v), w\rangle_{V_0, V_0'} = (((u \cdot \nabla)v), w)_{H_0},$$

for each $u, v, w \in V_0$, and its scalar analogue $B_1 : V_0 \times V_1 \to V_1^{'}$ by

$$\langle B_1(u,v), w\rangle_{V_1,V_1'} = (((u\cdot\nabla)v), w)_{H_1},$$

for each $u \in V_0$ and $v, w \in V_1$. These bilinear operators have the algebraic property

$$\langle B_0(u,v), w\rangle_{V_0,V_0'} = -\langle B_0(u,w), v\rangle_{V_0,V_0'}, \tag{2.1a}$$

and

$$\langle B_1(u,\theta), \phi\rangle_{V_1,V_1'} = -\langle B_1(u,\phi), \theta\rangle_{V_1,V_1'}, \tag{2.1b}$$

for each $u \in V_0$ and $v, w \in V_0$ and $\theta, \phi \in V_1$. Consequently, they also enjoy the orthogonal property

$$\langle B_0(u,v), v\rangle_{V_0,V_0'} = 0, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \langle B_1(u,\theta), \theta\rangle_{V_1,V_1'} = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

for each $u \in V_0$, $v \in V_0$ and $\theta \in V_1$.

In the above notation, we write the incompressible two-dimensional Bénard convection problem (1.3) in the functional form

$$\frac{du}{dt} + \nu A_0 u + B_0(u,u) = \mathcal{P}_\sigma(\theta \mathbf{e}_2), \tag{2.3a}$$

$$\frac{d\theta}{dt} + \kappa A_1 \theta + B_1(u,\theta) - u\cdot\mathbf{e}_2 = 0, \tag{2.3b}$$

$$u(0;x) = u_0(x), \quad \theta(0;x) = \theta_0(x). \tag{2.3c}$$

Next, we recall Ladyzhenskaya's inequality for an integrable function $\varphi \in V_i$, $i = 0, 1$:

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^4}^2 \le c_1 \|\varphi\|_{L^2} \|\varphi\|_{V_i}, \tag{2.4}$$

where c_1 is a universal, dimensionless, positive constant. Hereafter, c denotes a generic constant which may change from line to line. We also have the Poincaré inequality:

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 \le \lambda_1^{-1} \|\varphi\|_{V_i}^2, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in V_i, \tag{2.5a}$$

$$\|\varphi\|_{V_i}^2 \le \lambda_1^{-1} \|A_i\varphi\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A_i), \tag{2.5b}$$

where λ_1 is the minimum of the two smallest eigenvalues of the Stokes operators A_i, $i = 0, 1$.

Furthermore, inequalities (1.5) and (1.6) imply that

$$\|\mathcal{P}_\sigma(w - I_h(w))\|_{H_0}^2 \le c_0^2 h^2 \|w\|_{V_0}^2, \tag{2.6}$$

for every $w \in V_0$, where $c_0 = \gamma_0$, and respectively,

$$\|\mathcal{P}_\sigma(w - I_h(w))\|_{H_0}^2 \le \frac{1}{2} c_0^2 h^2 \|w\|_{V_0}^2 + \frac{1}{4} c_0^4 h^4 \|A_0 w\|_{H_0}^2, \tag{2.7}$$

for every $w \in \mathcal{D}(A_0)$, for some $c_0 > 0$ that depends only on γ_1 and γ_2.

We will apply the following inequality which is a particular case of a more general inequality proved in [26].

Lemma 2.2. *[26] Let $\tau > 0$ be fixed. Suppose that $Y(t)$ is an absolutely continuous function which is locally integrable and that it satisfies the following:*

$$\frac{dY}{dt} + \alpha(t) Y \le 0, \qquad \text{a.e. on } (0,\infty),$$

and

$$\liminf_{t\to\infty}\int_t^{t+\tau}\alpha(s)\,ds\geq\gamma,\qquad \limsup_{t\to\infty}\int_t^{t+\tau}\alpha^-(s)\,ds<\infty,$$

for some $\gamma>0$, *where* $\alpha^-=\max\{-\alpha,0\}$. *Then,* $Y(t)\to 0$ *at an exponential rate, as* $t\to\infty$.

We recall the following existence and uniqueness results from [13, 33] for the Bénard convection problem (2.3).

Theorem 2.3 (Existence and Uniqueness of Weak Solutions)**.** *Let* $T>0$ *be fixed, but arbitrary. Let* $\nu>0$ *and* $\kappa>0$. *If* $u_0\in H_0$ *and* $\theta_0\in H_1$, *then system* (2.3) *has a unique weak solution* (u,θ) *such that* $u\in C([0,T];H_0)\cap L^2([0,T];V_0)$ *and* $\theta\in C([0,T];H_1)$.

It was also shown in [13, 33] that the 2D Bénard convection system has a finite-dimensional global attractor.

Theorem 2.4 (Existence of a Global Attractor)**.** *Let* $T>0$ *be fixed, but arbitrary. If the initial data* $u_0\in V_0$ *and* $\theta_0\in V_1$, *then the system* (2.3) *has a unique strong solution* (u,θ) *that satisfies* $u\in C([0,T];V_0)\cap L^2([0,T];\mathcal{D}(A_0))$ *and* $\theta\in C([0,T];V_1)\cap L^2([0,T];\mathcal{D}(A_1))$. *Moreover, the system induced by* (2.3) *is well-posed and possesses a finite-dimensional global attractor* $\mathcal{A}$ *which is maximal among all the bounded invariant sets and is compact in* $H_0\times H_1$.

We will use the following bounds on (u,θ) later in our analysis.

Proposition 2.5 (Uniform Bounds on the solutions)**.** *[13, 33] Let* (u,θ) *be a strong solution of* (2.3). *There exists* $t_0>0$, *which depends on norms of the initial data, such that for* $t\geq t_0$,

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{H_1}\leq 2L^{1/2},\quad \textit{and}\quad \|u(t)\|_{H_0}\leq\frac{2L^{1/2}}{\nu\lambda_1^{1/2}},\tag{2.8}$$

$$\int_t^{t+1}\|u(s)\|_{V_0}^2\,ds\leq a_3,\quad \int_t^{t+1}\|\theta(s)\|_{V_1}^2\,ds\leq b_3,\tag{2.9}$$

$$\|u(t)\|_{V_0}^2\leq(a_2+a_3)\,e^{a_1}=:J_0,\tag{2.10}$$

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{V_1}^2\leq(b_2+b_3)e^{b_1}=:J_1,\tag{2.11}$$

where

$$a_2=b_2=\frac{cL}{\nu\lambda_1^{1/2}},\quad a_3=\frac{cL(1+\lambda_1^{1/2})}{\nu^2\lambda_1},\quad b_3=\frac{cL(1+\nu\lambda_1^{1/2})}{\kappa\nu\lambda_1^{1/2}},$$

and

$$a_1=\frac{cL}{\nu^5\lambda_1}a_3,\quad b_1=\frac{cL}{\kappa^3\nu^2\lambda_1}a_3,$$

for some dimensionless positive constant c.

Remark 2.6. Recall that all quantities in (2.3) are dimensionless, including the parameters ν, κ, L and λ_1. The proof of Proposition 2.5 in [13] was done for the particular case $\lambda_1=1$. We state it for arbitrary λ_1, so that our ultimate results can show this dependence.

3. Convergence Results

In this section, we derive conditions under which the approximate solution (v, η) of the data assimilation system (3.4) converges to the solution (u, θ) of the Bénard convection problem (2.3) as $t \to \infty$. We will prove the result for observables operators that satisfy (2.6) and (2.7), in functional settings, respectively.

The idea to apply data assimilation using observations of velocity only is inspired by the fact that solutions in the global attractor of (2.3) are completely determined by their velocity component. That is, the values of the temperature (or the density) $\theta(t; x)$ in $\mathcal{A}$ are completely determined by the velocity vector field $u(t; x)$ for all time in $\mathcal{A}$.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $(u_1(t; x), \theta_1(t; x))$ and $(u_2(t; x), \theta_2(t; x))$ be two trajectories in $\mathcal{A}$ of (2.3). If $u_1(t; x) = u_2(t; x) = u(t; x)$ in $\mathcal{A}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\theta_1(t; x) = \theta_2(t; x)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Let θ_1 and θ_2 be two trajectories such that $(u(t; x), \theta_i(t; x)) \in \mathcal{A}$ for $i = 1, 2$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $\tilde{\theta} = \theta_1 - \theta_2$. Then, $\tilde{\theta}$ satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{\theta}}{\partial t} + \kappa A_1 \tilde{\theta} + B_1(u, \tilde{\theta}) = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

Taking the H_1 inner product of (3.1) with $\tilde{\theta}$ and using the Poincaré inequality (2.5) yields

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left\|\tilde{\theta}\right\|_{H_1}^2 = -\kappa\left\|\tilde{\theta}\right\|_{V_1}^2 \leq -\kappa\lambda_1\left\|\tilde{\theta}\right\|_{H_1}^2.$$

Using Gronwall's lemma we have

$$\left\|\tilde{\theta}(t)\right\|_{H_1}^2 \leq e^{-2\kappa\lambda_1(t-s)}\left\|\tilde{\theta}(s)\right\|_{H_1}^2, \tag{3.2}$$

for all $-\infty < s \leq t < \infty$. Since the solutions on the global attractor $\mathcal{A}$ are bounded in $H \times H_1$ and $V \times V_1$, we can let $s \to -\infty$ in (3.2) to obtain

$$\left\|\tilde{\theta}(t)\right\|_{H_1}^2 = 0, \tag{3.3}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. □

In functional form the system (1.4) reads as

$$\frac{dv}{dt} + \nu A_0 v + B_0(v, v) = \mathcal{P}_\sigma(\eta \mathbf{e}_2) - \mu\mathcal{P}_\sigma(I_h(v) - I_h(u)), \tag{3.4a}$$

$$\frac{d\eta}{dt} + \kappa A_1 \eta + B_1(v, \eta) - v \cdot \mathbf{e}_2 = 0, \tag{3.4b}$$

$$v(0; x) = v_0(x), \quad \eta(0; x) = \eta_0(x), \tag{3.4c}$$

where (u, θ) is the strong solution of the 2D Bénard convection problem (2.3) on the global attractor $\mathcal{A}$.

Following the techniques that were introduced to prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions for the Navier-Stokes equations and the Boussinesq equations (see for example, [6], [9], [13], [33] and [35]). We can show the existence of the solution (v, η) of system (3.4) using the Galerkin method and the Aubin compactness theorem. The uniqueness and the well-posedness will follow as in the case of the two-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations and the two-dimensional Boussinesq equations using the Lions-Magenes lemma and Gronwall's lemma.

First, we will prove that under certain conditions on μ, the approximate solution (v,η) of the data assimilation system (3.4) converges to the solution (u,θ) of the Bénard problem (2.3) as $t\to\infty$ when the observables operators satisfy (2.6).

Theorem 3.2. *Let I_h satisfy the approximation property (2.6) and $(u(t;x),\theta(t;x))$ be a strong solution in the global attractor of (2.3). Let $\mu>0$ be arbitrary and $h<<1$ be chosen such that $\mu c_0^2h^2\le\nu$, then (3.4) has a unique strong solution (v,η) that satisfies*

$$v\in C([0,T];V_0)\cap L^2([0,T];\mathcal{D}(A_0)), \tag{3.5a}$$

$$\eta\in C([0,T];V_1)\cap L^2([0,T];\mathcal{D}(A_1)), \tag{3.5b}$$

and

$$\frac{dv}{dt}\in L^2([0,T];H_0),\qquad \frac{d\eta}{dt}\in L^2([0,T];H_1). \tag{3.5c}$$

Moreover, the strong solution (v,η) depends continuously on the initial data in the $V_0\times V_1$ norm.

If we choose $\mu>0$ large enough such that

$$\mu\ge\frac{8}{\kappa\lambda_1}+\frac{8c_1^2a_3}{\nu}+\frac{8c_1^4J_1b_3}{\kappa^2\lambda_1\nu}, \tag{3.6}$$

and $h>0$ small enough such that $\mu c_0^2h^2\le\nu$, where the positive constants $a_3(\nu,L)$, $b_3(\nu,\kappa,L)$, and $J_1(\nu,\kappa,L)$, are defined in Proposition 2.5. Then, $\|u(t)-v(t)\|_{H_0}^2+\|\theta(t)-\eta(t)\|_{H_1}^2\to0$ at an exponential rate as $t\to\infty$.

Proof. The existence of the solution (v,η) follows using the Galerkin method and the Aubin compactness theorem. We refer the reader to the detailed proof of well-posedness of the data assimilation system in [2]. The argument here would be identical and will be omitted.

Define $w=u-v$, $\xi=\theta-\eta$. Then w and ξ satisfy the equations

$$\frac{dw}{dt}+\nu A_0w+B_0(v,w)+B_0(w,u)=\mathcal{P}_\sigma(\xi\mathbf{e}_2)-\mu\mathcal{P}_\sigma I_h(w), \tag{3.7a}$$

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt}-\kappa A_1\xi+B_1(v,\xi)+B_1(w,\theta)-w\cdot\mathbf{e}_2=0, \tag{3.7b}$$

$$w(0;x)=w_0(x):=u_0(x)-v_0(x), \tag{3.7c}$$

$$\xi(0;x)=\xi_0(x):=\theta_0(x)-\eta_0(x). \tag{3.7d}$$

Since $\frac{dw}{dt}$ and $\frac{d\xi}{dt}$ are bounded in $L^2([0,T];H_0)$ and $L^2([0,T];H_1)$, respectively, using the Lions-Magenes lemma, we can take the L^2 inner product of (3.7a) and (3.7b) with w and ξ, respectively, and obtain

$$\frac12\frac{d}{dt}\|w\|_{H_0}^2+\nu\|w\|_{V_0}^2+(B_0(w,u),w)=\int_\Omega\xi(w\cdot\mathbf{e}_2)\,dx-\mu(I_h(w),w),$$

$$\frac12\frac{d}{dt}\|\xi\|_{H_1}^2+\kappa\|\xi\|_{V_1}^2+(B_1(w,\theta),\xi)=\int_\Omega\xi(w\cdot\mathbf{e}_2)\,dx.$$

By Hölder's inequality, Young's inequality and the Poincaré inequality (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_\Omega \xi(w\cdot\mathbf{e}_2)\,dx\right| &\le \|w\|_{H_0}\,\|\xi\|_{H_1}\\ &\le \frac{\kappa\lambda_1}{4}\,\|\xi\|_{H_1}^2+\frac{1}{\kappa\lambda_1}\,\|w\|_{H_0}^2\\ &\le \frac{\kappa}{4}\,\|\nabla\xi\|_{H_1}^2+\frac{1}{\kappa\lambda_1}\,\|w\|_{H_0}^2\,. \end{aligned}\tag{3.8}$$

Ladyzhenskaya's inequality (2.4) and Young's inequality yield

$$\begin{aligned}|(B_1(w,\theta),\xi)| &\le \|w\|_{L^4}\,\|\xi\|_{L^4}\,\|\theta\|_{V_1}\\ &\le c_1\,\|w\|_{H_0}^{1/2}\,\|w\|_{V_0}^{1/2}\,\|\xi\|_{H_1}^{1/2}\,\|\xi\|_{V_1}^{1/2}\,\|\theta\|_{V_1}\\ &\le \frac{c_1^2}{\kappa\lambda_1^{1/2}}\,\|w\|_{H_0}\,\|w\|_{V_0}\,\|\theta\|_{V_1}^2+\frac{\kappa\lambda_1^{1/2}}{4}\,\|\xi\|_{H_1}\,\|\xi\|_{V_1}\\ &\le \frac{\nu}{8}\,\|w\|_{V_0}^2+\frac{2c_1^4}{\kappa^2\lambda_1\nu}\,\|w\|_{H_0}^2\,\|\theta\|_{V_1}^4+\frac{\kappa}{8}\,\|\xi\|_{V_1}^2+\frac{\kappa\lambda_1}{8}\,\|\xi\|_{H_1}^2\\ &\le \frac{\nu}{8}\,\|w\|_{V_0}^2+\frac{2c_1^4}{\kappa^2\lambda_1\nu}\,\|w\|_{H_1}^2\,\|\theta\|_{V_1}^4+\frac{\kappa}{4}\,\|\xi\|_{V_1}^2\,, \end{aligned}\tag{3.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|(B_0(w,u),w)| &\le \|v\|_{V_0}\,\|w\|_{L^4}^2\\ &\le c_1\,\|u\|_{V_0}\,\|w\|_{H_0}\,\|w\|_{V_0}\\ &\le \frac{\nu}{8}\,\|w\|_{V_0}^2+\frac{2c_1^2}{\nu}\,\|u\|_{V_0}^2\,\|w\|_{H_0}^2\,. \end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

Thanks to the assumption $\mu c_0^2h^2\le\nu$ and Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}-\mu(I_h(w),w) &= -\mu(I_h(w)-w,w)-\mu\,\|w\|_{H_0}^2\\ &\le \mu\,\|I_h(w)-w\|_{H_0}\,\|w\|_{H_0}-\mu\,\|w\|_{H_0}^2\\ &\le \mu c_0h\,\|w\|_{H_0}\,\|w\|_{V_0}-\mu\,\|w\|_{H_0}^2\\ &\le \frac{\mu c_0^2h^2}{2}\,\|w\|_{V_0}^2-\frac{\mu}{2}\,\|w\|_{H_0}^2\\ &\le \frac{\nu}{2}\,\|w\|_{V_0}^2-\frac{\mu}{2}\,\|w\|_{H_0}^2\,. \end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

Thus, it follows from the estimates (3.8)–(3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|w\|_{H_0}^2+\|\xi\|_{H_1}^2\right)+\frac{\nu}{2}\,\|w\|_{V_0}^2+\frac{\kappa}{2}\,\|\xi\|_{V_1}^2\le\\ &\qquad\left(\frac{4}{\kappa\lambda_1}+\frac{4c_1^2}{\nu}\,\|u\|_{V_0}^2+\frac{4c_1^4}{\kappa^2\lambda_1\nu}\,\|\theta\|_{V_1}^4-\mu\right)\|w\|_{H_0}^2\,. \end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

Using the Poincaré inequality (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|w\|_{H_0}^2+\|\xi\|_{H_1}^2\right)+\frac{\lambda_1}{2}\min\{\nu,\kappa\}\left(\|w\|_{H_0}^2+\|\xi\|_{H_1}^2\right)\le\\ &\qquad\left(\frac{4}{\kappa\lambda_1}+\frac{4c_1^2}{\nu}\,\|u\|_{V_0}^2+\frac{4c_1^4}{\kappa^2\lambda_1\nu}\,\|\theta\|_{V_1}^4-\mu\right)\|w\|_{H_0}^2\,. \end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

We denote by

$$\alpha(t) := \mu - \frac{4}{\kappa\lambda_1} - \frac{4c_1^2}{\nu}\|u\|_{V_0}^2 - \frac{4c_1^4}{\kappa^2\lambda_1\nu}\|\theta\|_{V_1}^4 .$$

By Proposition 2.5, there exists $t_0 > 0$ and such that for all $t \geq t_0$,

$$\int_t^{t+1} \|u(s)\|_{V_0}^2 \, ds \leq a_3, \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$\int_t^{t+1} \|\theta(s)\|_{V_1}^4 \, ds \leq \sup_{s\in[t,t+1]} \|\theta(s)\|_{V_1}^2 \int_t^{t+1} \|\theta(s)\|_{V_1}^2 \, ds \leq J_1 b_3, \tag{3.15}$$

where the positive constants $a_3(\nu, L)$, $b_3(\nu, \kappa, L)$, and $J_1(\nu, \kappa, L)$, are defined in Proposition 2.5. Thus,

$$\limsup_{t\to\infty} \int_t^{t+1} \alpha(s)\, ds \geq \mu - \frac{4}{\kappa\lambda_1} - \frac{4c_1^2 a_3}{\nu} - \frac{4c_1^4 J_1 b_3}{\kappa^2\lambda_1\nu}.$$

The assumption (3.6) implies that

$$\int_t^{t+1} \alpha(s)\, ds \geq \frac{\mu}{2} > 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \int_t^{t+1} \alpha(s)\, ds \leq \frac{3\mu}{2} < \infty. \tag{3.16}$$

The inequality (3.13) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|w\|_{H_0}^2 + \|\xi\|_{H_1}^2\right) + \min\{\frac{\nu\lambda_1}{2}, \frac{\kappa\lambda_1}{2}, \alpha(t)\}\left(\|w\|_{H_0}^2 + \|\xi\|_{H_1}^2\right) \leq 0.$$

Define $\tilde{\alpha}(t) := \min\{\frac{\nu\lambda_1}{2}, \frac{\kappa\lambda_1}{2}, \alpha(t)\}$, then $\tilde{\alpha}(t)$ satisfies (3.16). By the uniform Gronwall inequality, Lemma 2.2, it follows that

$$\|w(t)\|_{H_0}^2 + \|\xi(t)\|_{H_1}^2 \to 0,$$

at an exponential rate, as $t \to \infty$. □

Here we have the analogue of Theorem 3.2 but for observable operators that satisfy (2.7).

Theorem 3.3. *Suppose I_h satisfies the approximation property (2.7) and $(u(t;x), \theta(t;x))$ is a strong solution, which is contained in the global attractor, of (2.3). Let $T > 0$, $\beta_0 > 0$ and $\beta_1 > 0$ be arbitrary, but fixed, such that $\beta_0 \geq J_0$ and $\beta_1 \geq J_1$, where J_0 and J_1 are defined in (2.10) and (2.11), respectively. If $v_0 \in V_0$ and $\eta_0 \in H_1$ such that*

$$\|v_0\|_{V_0}^2 \leq \beta_0, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\eta_0\|_{H_1}^2 \leq \beta_1, \tag{3.17}$$

and μ is large enough, such that

$$\mu \geq \frac{96c_1^2(\beta_0+\beta_1)}{\nu} + \frac{8c_1^2}{\nu\lambda_1}K_3 + \frac{4c_1^2}{\kappa\lambda_1}J_1 + 4\frac{\lambda_1^2+1}{\kappa\lambda_1^2}, \tag{3.18}$$

and $h > 0$ be small enough such that $\mu c_0^2 h^2 \leq \nu$, where K_3 is a positive constant defined in (3.29), then (3.4) has a unique global solution such that

$$v \in C([0,T]; V_0) \cap L^2([0,T]; \mathcal{D}(A_0)), \tag{3.19a}$$

$$\eta \in C([0,T]; H_1) \cap L^2([0,T]; V_1), \tag{3.19b}$$

and

$$\frac{dv}{dt} \in L^2([0,T];H_0), \qquad \frac{d\eta}{dt} \in L^2([0,T];V_1^{'}). \tag{3.19c}$$

Moreover, $\|u(t)-v(t)\|_{V_0}^2 + \|\theta(t)-\eta(t)\|_{H_1}^2 \to 0$, *at an exponential rate, as* $t \to \infty$.

Proof. Define $w := u - v$ and $\xi := \theta - \eta$. Since by assumption, (u,θ) is a solution which is contained in the global attractor of (2.3), in particular, it satisfies the global estimates in Proposition 2.5, then showing the global existence, in time, of the solution $(w(t),\xi(t))$ is equivalent to showing the global existence, in time, of the solution $(v(t),\eta(t))$ of system (3.4). To be concise here, we will show the global existence of the solution $(w(t),\xi(t))$ and show that $\|w(t)\|_{V_0}^2 + \|\xi\|_{H_1}^2$ decays exponentially, in time, which will prove the convergence of the approximate solution $(v(t),\eta(t))$ to the exact solution $(u(t),\theta(t))$, exponentially in time.

Taking the difference between system (2.3) and system (3.4), we see that w and ξ satisfy the equations

$$\frac{dw}{dt} + \nu A_0 w + B_0(v,w) + B_0(w,u) = \mathcal{P}_\sigma(\xi \mathbf{e}_2) - \mu \mathcal{P}_\sigma I_h(w), \tag{3.20a}$$

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt} - \kappa A_1 \xi + B_1(v,\xi) + B_1(w,\theta) - w\cdot\mathbf{e}_2 = 0, \tag{3.20b}$$

$$w(0;x) = u_0(x) - v_0(x), \tag{3.20c}$$

$$\xi(0;x) = \theta_0(x) - \eta_0(x). \tag{3.20d}$$

Next, we will prove some formal *apriori* estimates that are essential in proving the global existence of solutions of system (3.20). These estimates can be justified rigorously by using the Galerkin method and the Aubin compactness theorem (see e.g. [9]).

Since $\|v_0\|_{V_0}^2 \le \beta_0$ and $\|\eta_0\|_{H_1}^2 \le \beta_1$ then by the continuity of $\|v(t)\|_{V_0}^2$ and $\|\eta(t)\|_{H_1}^2$, there exists a short time interval $[0,\tilde{T})$ such that

$$\|v(t)\|_{V_0}^2 + \|\eta(t)\|_{H_1}^2 < 12(\beta_0+\beta_1), \tag{3.21}$$

for all $t \in [0,\tilde{T})$. Assume $[0,\tilde{T})$ is the maximal interval such that (3.21) holds. We will show, by contradiction, that $\tilde{T} = \infty$. Assume that $\tilde{T} < \infty$, then it is clear that

$$\limsup_{t\to\tilde{T}^-} \left(\|v(t)\|_{V_0}^2 + \|\eta(t)\|_{H_1}^2 \right) = 12(\beta_0+\beta_1),$$

otherwise (3.21) will hold beyond $\tilde{T}$. Taking the H_0 and H_1 inner products of (3.20a) and (3.20b), respectively, with $A_0 w$ and ξ, respectively, and using the orthogonality property (2.2), we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|w\|_{V_0}^2 + \nu\|A_0 w\|_{H_0}^2 + (B_0(v,w),A_0 w) + (B_0(w,u),A_0 w) = \int_\Omega \xi(A_0 w\cdot\mathbf{e}_2)\,dx - \mu(I_h(w),A_0 w),$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\xi\|_{H_1}^2 + \kappa\|\xi\|_{V_1}^2 + (B_1(w,\theta),\xi) = \int_\Omega \xi(w\cdot\mathbf{e}_2)\,dx.$$

Using integration by parts, Hölder's inequality, Ladyzhenskaya's inequality (2.4), the Poincaré inequality (2.5) and (3.21), we have on the time interval $[0,\tilde{T})$:

$$\begin{aligned}|(B_0(v,w),A_0w)| &\le \|v\|_{V_0}\|\nabla w\|_{L^4}^2\\ &\le c_1\|v\|_{V_0}\|w\|_{V_0}\|A_0w\|_{H_0}\\ &\le \frac{\nu}{8}\|A_0w\|_{H_0}^2+\frac{2c_1^2}{\nu}\|v\|_{V_0}^2\|w\|_{V_0}^2\\ &\le \frac{\nu}{8}\|A_0w\|_{H_0}^2+\frac{24c_1^2(\beta_0+\beta_1)}{\nu}\|w\|_{V_0}^2. \end{aligned}\tag{3.22}$$

Also, by Ladyzhenskaya's inequality (2.4) and the Poincaré inequality (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|(B_0(w,u),A_0w)| &\le \|w\|_{L^4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}\|A_0w\|_{H_0}\\ &\le c_1\|w\|_{H_0}^{1/2}\|w\|_{V_0}^{1/2}\|u\|_{V_0}^{1/2}\|A_0u\|_{H_0}^{1/2}\|A_0w\|_{H_0}\\ &\le \frac{c_1}{\lambda_1^{1/2}}\|A_0u\|_{H_0}\|w\|_{V_0}\|A_0w\|_{H_0}\\ &\le \frac{\nu}{8}\|A_0w\|_{H_0}^2+\frac{2c_1^2}{\nu\lambda_1}\|A_0u\|_{H_0}^2\|w\|_{V_0}^2, \end{aligned}\tag{3.23}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}|(B_1(w,\theta),\xi)| &\le \|w\|_{L^4}\|\xi\|_{L^4}\|\theta\|_{V_1}\\ &\le c_1\|w\|_{H_0}^{1/2}\|w\|_{V_0}^{1/2}\|\xi\|_{H_1}^{1/2}\|\xi\|_{V_1}^{1/2}\|\theta\|_{V_1}\\ &\le \frac{c_1}{\lambda_1^{1/2}}\|w\|_{V_0}\|\xi\|_{V_1}\|\theta\|_{V_1}\\ &\le \frac{\kappa}{4}\|\xi\|_{V_1}^2+\frac{c_1^2}{\kappa\lambda_1}\|\theta\|_{V_1}^2\|w\|_{V_0}^2. \end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

Integration by parts, the Cauchy-Schwarz and Young inequalities yield

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_\Omega \xi(A_0w\cdot\mathbf{e}_2)\,dx\right| &\le \|w\|_{V_0}\|\xi\|_{V_1}\\ &\le \frac{\kappa}{4}\|\xi\|_{V_1}^2+\frac{1}{\kappa}\|w\|_{V_0}^2. \end{aligned}\tag{3.25}$$

Moreover, by the Cauchy-Schwarz and Young inequalities,

$$\left|\int_\Omega \xi(w\cdot\mathbf{e}_2)\,dx\right| \le \frac{\kappa}{4}\|\xi\|_{V_1}^2+\frac{1}{\kappa\lambda_1^2}\|w\|_{V_0}^2. \tag{3.26}$$

Using (2.7), Young's inequality and the assumption $\mu c_0^2h^2\le\nu$, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}-\mu(\mathcal{P}_\sigma I_h(w),A_0w) &= \mu(w-\mathcal{P}_\sigma I_h(w),A_0w)-\mu\|w\|_{V_0}^2\\ &\le \frac{\mu^2}{\nu}\|w-I_h(w)\|_{H_0}^2+\frac{\nu}{4}\|A_0w\|_{H_0}^2-\mu\|w\|_{V_0}^2\\ &\le \frac{\nu}{2}\|A_0w\|_{H_0}^2-\frac{\mu}{2}\|w\|_{V_0}^2. \end{aligned}\tag{3.27}$$

It follows from the estimates (3.22)–(3.27) and the Poincaré inequality (2.5) that on the time interval $[0,\tilde{T})$:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|w\|_{V_0}^2+\|\xi\|_{H_1}^2\right)+\frac{\min\{\nu,\kappa\}}{2}\left(\|A_0w\|_{H_0}^2+\|\xi\|_{V_1}^2\right)\\ \leq\left(\frac{48c_1^2(\beta_0+\beta_1)}{\nu}+\frac{4c_1^2}{\nu\lambda_1}\|A_0u\|_{H_0}^2+\frac{2c_1^2}{\kappa\lambda_1}\|\theta\|_{V_1}^2+2\frac{\lambda_1^2+1}{\kappa\lambda_1^2}-\mu\right)\|w\|_{V_0}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

We denote by

$$\alpha(t):=\mu-\left(\frac{48c_1^2(\beta_0+\beta_1)}{\nu}+\frac{4c_1^2}{\nu\lambda_1}\|A_0u\|_{H_0}^2+\frac{2c_1^2}{\kappa\lambda_1}\|\theta\|_{V_1}^2+2\frac{\lambda_1^2+1}{\kappa\lambda_1^2}\right).$$

Since by assumption (u,θ) is a solution that is contained in the global attractor of (3.4), by Proposition 2.5, one can show that there exist positive constants $K_3=K_3(\nu,\kappa,\lambda_1,L)$ such that for all $t\geq 0$,

$$\|A_0u(t)\|_{H_0}^2\leq K_3, \tag{3.29}$$

moreover,

$$\|\theta(t)\|_{V_1}^2\leq J_1, \tag{3.30}$$

for all $t>0$. Therefore, assumption (3.18) implies that $\alpha(t)>0$ for all $t\geq 0$. Consequently, and by virtue of Gronwall's lemma, we have that $\|w(t)\|_{V_0}^2+\|\xi(t)\|_{H_1}^2$ is finite and

$$\begin{aligned}\|w(t)\|_{V_0}^2+\|\xi(t)\|_{H_1}^2&\leq(\|w_0\|_{V_0}^2+\|\xi_0\|_{H_1}^2)e^{-\int_0^t\alpha(s)\,ds}\\ &\leq(\|w_0\|_{V_0}^2+\|\xi_0\|_{H_1}^2)e^{-\left(\frac{48c_1^2(\beta_0+\beta_1)}{\nu}+\frac{4c_1^2K_3}{\nu\lambda_1}+\frac{2c_1^2J_1}{\kappa\lambda_1}+2\frac{\lambda_1^2+1}{\kappa\lambda_1^2}\right)t},\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

for all $t\in[0,\tilde{T})$. Since $\|w_0\|_{V_0}^2\leq 4\beta_0$ and $\|\xi_0\|_{H_1}^2\leq 4\beta_1$, then $\|w(t)\|_{V_0}^2+\|\xi(t)\|_{H_1}^2\leq 4(\beta_0+\beta_1)$, for all $t\in[0,\tilde{T})$. Thus, $\|v(t)\|_{V_0}^2+\|\eta(t)\|_{H_1}^2\leq 10(\beta_0+\beta_1)$, for all $t\in[0,\tilde{T})$. This, in turn, will lead into a contraction since

$$12(\beta_0+\beta_1)=\limsup_{t\to\tilde{T}^-}\left(\|v(t)\|_{V_0}^2+\|\eta(t)\|_{H_1}^2\right)\leq 10(\beta_0+\beta_1).$$

This contradicts the assumption that $[0,\tilde{T})$ is the maximal interval such that (3.21) holds and proves that $\tilde{T}=\infty$.

This proves that $w(t)$ and $\xi(t)$ exist globally, in time, for all $t\geq 0$ and that $\|w(t)\|_{V_0}^2+\|\xi(t)\|_{H_1}^2$ decays at an exponential rate. That is, $v(t)$ and $\eta(t)$ exist globally, in time, for all $t\geq 0$ and $\|v(t)\|_{V_0}^2+\|\eta(t)\|_{H_1}^2\leq 12(\beta_0+\beta_1)$ for all $t\geq 0$. The proof of the uniqueness of the solution (v,θ) follows similar steps as to those above for proving the convergence. The estimate (3.31) shows that

$$\|u(t)-v(t)\|_{V_0}^2+\|\theta(t)-\eta(t)\|_{H_1}^2\to 0,$$

at an exponential rate, as $t\to\infty$. □

4. Discussion and final remarks

It is typical when implementing data assimilation to choose a relaxation parameter such as μ which is effective for the spatial resolution h of the available data. The goal of our analysis, however, is to estimate μ in terms of physical parameters through rigorous bounds on the solutions in the global attractor. A sufficiently small value of h is then determined in terms of μ. Thus, indirectly, the necessary spatial resolution depends on the physical parameters, which is natural.

We mention that the basis for this reduced assimilation method using velocity alone, namely Proposition 3.1, should carry over to the three-dimensional case, if one could prove the existence of global strong solutions of the 3D Bénard problem.

It is natural to ask if it is possible to nudge using only temperature measurements. This would require a temperature to velocity version of Proposition 3.1, which remains an open question. It may very well be false, in which case, we would hope to construct a counterexample.

We also plan to carry out numerical work to demonstrate the effectiveness of this approach to data assimilation. Numerical simulations in [19] (see also [25]) have shown that, in the absence of measurements errors, the continuous data assimilation algorithm (1.2) performs much better than analytical estimates in [2] would suggest. This was also noted for a different data assimilation algorithm in [30] and [31]. It is likely that the data assimilation algorithm studied in this paper will also perform much better than our analysis guarantees.

Acknowledgements

This work was completed while the authors were visiting the Institute for Pure and Applied Mathematics (IPAM), which is supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF). The work of A. F. is supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1418911. The work of M.S.J. is supported in part by NSF grants DMS-1008661, DMS-1109022 and DMS-1418911. The work of E.S.T. is supported in part by the NSF grants DMS-1009950, DMS-1109640 and DMS-1109645.

References

[1] A. Azouani and E.S. Titi, *Feedback control of nonlinear dissipative systems by finite determining parameters - a reaction-diffusion paradigm*, Evol. Equ. and Control Theory (EECT) 3(4), (2014), 579–594.

[2] A. Azouani, E. Olson and E. S. Titi, *Continuous data assimilation using general interpolant observables*, J. Nonlinear Sci. **24(2)** (2014), 277–304.

[3] H. Bessaih, E. Olson and E.S. Titi, *Continuous assimilation of data with stochastic noise*, Nonlinearity (to appear), arXiv:1406.1533.

[4] D. Blömker, K.J.H. Law, A.M. Stuart and K. C. Zygalakis, *Accuracy and stability of the continuous-times 3DVAR filter for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Nonlinearity, **26** (2013), pp. 2193–2219.

[5] G.L. Browning, W.D. Henshaw and H.O. Kreiss, *A numerical investigation of the interaction between the large scales and small scales of the two-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations*, Research Report LA-UR-98-1712, Los Alamos National Laboratory. (1998).

[6] J.R. Cannon and E. DiBenedetto, *The initial value problem for the Boussinesq equations with data in L^p*. Approximation methods for Navier-Stokes problems (Proc. Sympos., Univ. Paderborn, Paderborn, 1979), pp. 129–144, Lecture Notes in Math., **771**, Springer, Berlin, (1980).

[7] D. Chae, *Global regularity for the 2D Boussinesq equations with partial viscosity terms*, Adv. Math. **203(2)** (2006), pp. 497–513.

[8] B. Cockburn, D.A. Jones and E.S. Titi, *Estimating the number of asymptotic degrees of freedom for nonlinear dissipative systems*, Mathematics of Computation, **66** (1997), pp. 1073–1087.

[9] P. Constantin and C. Foias, *Navier-Stokes Equations*, Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1988. MR 972259 (90b:35190)

[10] R. Daley, *Atmospheric Data Analysis*, Cambridge Atmospheric and Space Science Series, Cambridge University Press, (1991).

[11] R. Danchin, M. Paicu, *Les théorémes de Leray et de Fujita–Kato pour le systme de Boussinesq partiellement visqueux*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **136** (2) (2008), pp. 261–309.

[12] C. Foias, M. S. Jolly, R. Kravchenko and E. S. Titi , *A unified approach to determining forms for the 2D Navier-Stokes equations – the general interpolants case*. Russian Mathematical Surveys (Uspekhi Mat. Nauk). vol. **69(2)** (2014), pp. 177–200.

[13] C. Foias, O. Manley and R. Temam, *Attractors for the Bénard problem: existence and physical bounds on their fractal dimension*. Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods *and* Applications, **11(8)** (1987), pp. 939–967.

[14] C. Foias, O. Manley, R. Rosa and R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes Equations and Turbulence*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications **83**, Cambridge University Press, (2001).

[15] C. Foias and G. Prodi, *Sur le comportement global des solutions non-stationnaires des équations de Navier-Stokes en dimension* 2, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, **39** (1967), pp. 1–34.

[16] C. Foias, R. Temam, *Asymptotic numerical analysis for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Nonlinear Dynamics and Turbulence, Edit. by Barenblatt, Iooss, Joseph, Boston: Pitman Advanced Pub. Prog., 1983.

[17] C. Foias and R. Temam, *Determination of the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations by a set of nodal value*s, Math. Comp., **43** (1984), pp. 117–133.

[18] C. Foias and E.S. Titi, *Determining nodes, finite difference schemes and inertial manifolds*, Nonlinearity, Vol. **4(1)**, (1991), pp. 135–153.

[19] M. Gesho, *A Numerical Study of Continuous Data Assimilation Using Nodal Points in Space for the Two-dimensional Navier-Stokes Equations*, Masters Thesis, University of Nevada, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, (2013).

[20] W. D. Henshaw and H.O. Kreiss and J. Yström *Numerical experiments on the interaction between the large- and small-scale motion of the Navier-Stokes Equations*, SIAM J. Multiscale Modeling & Simulation, **1** (2003), pp. 119–149.

[21] T. Hmidi, S. Keraani, *On the global well-posedness of the two-dimensional Boussinesq system with a zero diffusivity*, Adv. Differential Equations, **12(4)** (2007), pp. 461–480.

[22] M.J. Holst and E.S. Titi, *Determining projections and functionals for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations*, Contemporary Mathematics, **204** (1997), pp. 125–138.

[23] T.Y. Hou, C. Li, *Global well-posedness of the viscous Boussinesq equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **12(1)** (2005), pp. 1–12.

[24] W. Hu, I. Kukavica and M. Ziane, *On the regularity for the Boussinesq equations in a bounded domain*, J. Math. Phys., **54** (2013), 081507.

[25] K. Hayden, E. Olson and E.S. Titi, *Discrete data assimilation in the Lorenz and* 2*D NavierStokes equations*, Physica D **240** (2011), pp. 1416–1425.

[26] D. A. Jones and E. S. Titi, *Determining finite volume elements for the 2D Navier-Stokes equations*, Physica D, **60** (1992), pp. 165–174.

[27] D.A. Jones and E.S. Titi, *Upper bounds on the number of determining modes, nodes and volume elements for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., **42(3)**, (1993), pp. 875–887.

[28] P. Korn, *Data assimilation for the Navier-Stokes-α equations*, Physica D, **238** (2009), pp. 1957–1974.

[29] A. Larios, E. Lunasin, and E. S. Titi, *Global well-posedness for the 2d Boussinesq system without heat diffusion and with either anisotropic viscosity or inviscid Voigt-regularization*, J. Differ. Equations, **255** (2013), pp. 2636-2654.

[30] E. Olson and E. S. Titi, *Determining modes for continuous data assimilation in 2D turbulence*, Journal of Statistical Physics, **113** (2003), pp. 799–840.

[31] E. Olson and E. S. Titi, *Determining modes and Grashof number in 2D turbulence*, Theoretical and Computation Fluid Dynamics, **22(5)** (2008), pp. 327–339.

[32] J. C. Robinson, *Infinite-dimensional Dynamical Systems. An Introduction to Dissipative Parabolic PDEs and the Theory of Global Attractors*. Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001. xviii+461 pp. ISBN: 0-521-63204-8

[33] R. Temam, *Infinite Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics, 2nd edition*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, **68**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997. MR 1441312 (98b:58056)

[34] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes Equations and Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, second ed., CBMS-NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, **66**, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 1995. MR 1318914 (96e:35136)

[35] R. Temam, *Navier-Stokes Equations: Theory and Numerical Analysis*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, Providence, RI, 2001, Reprint of the 1984 edition. MR 1846644 (2002j:76001)

(Aseel Farhat) Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, Bloomington , IN 47405, USA

E-mail address, Aseel Farhat: afarhat@indiana.edu

(Michael S. Jolly) Department of Mathematics, Indiana University, Bloomington, Bloomington , IN 47405, USA

E-mail address, Michael S. Jolly: msjolly@indiana.edu

(Edriss S. Titi) Department of Mathematics, Texas A&M University, 3368 TAMU, College Station, TX 77843-3368, USA. **ALSO**, Department of Computer Science and Applied Mathematics, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot 76100, Israel.

E-mail address: titi@math.tamu.edu and edriss.titi@weizmann.ac.il